

Pre-proposal Judging Scorecard

Thank you for providing your feedback! Your answers to the questions below will be used to determine applicant eligibility and compute an overall score for this proposal.

Privacy Policy

Many of our applicants hope to launch ventures following the contest. It is expected that judges will maintain the confidentiality of the proposals before, during and after the judging process. During the duration of the contest, any communications with applicants should be initiated through staff representatives of the Big Ideas contest only. Please check the box below to acknowledge that you have read and agree to our privacy policy.

I agree to the Privacy Policy stated above:

Yes	No
-----	----

Judging Criteria

Please rate and provide detailed comments in the section below. Reviews should be written as though you are communicating directly with the applicants. Applicants will receive only the qualitative feedback (not scores). Reviews will be kept anonymous. Important Note: Big Ideas applicants, both finalists and non-finalists, regularly cite the judges' feedback as the most valuable resource provided by the contest. Your detailed comments in the section below are truly critical to the success of these projects as they move forward.

1. INNOVATION (40%) - The idea presented is a "novel, innovative or creative" solution to the proposed problem. Big Ideas defines "novel, innovative or creative" solutions as either: (a) a new idea, method, invention or product, or (b) the creation of a better or more effective product, process, service, technology or idea.

Yes	Somewhat	Not Especially	No
-----	----------	----------------	----

Comment on "Innovation":					



Pre-proposal Judging Scorecard

	(4BERRELEY					
social the pr	problem. The to	eam provides the re	eviewer w	rith sufficient stati	resses a pressing and insticts and research to use a clear case that the	understand
Yes	Somewhat	Not Especially	No]		
163	Joinewhat	TNOT Especially	INO	J		
Comr	ment on "Poto	ential for Impac	t":			
propo thoug	sal includes suf ht through the ives. (NOTE: Wo	ficient evidence of t partnerships and re	team mei sources r	mbers' expertise, t necessary to achie	dibility to implement in a specification in the second sec	team has ls and
Yes	Somewhat	Not Especially	No			
Comment on "Viability":						
team l	has clearly put t	•	into creat	~	e idea itself is of high and persuasive propos	

Not Especially

No

Yes

Somewhat



Pre-proposal Judging Scorecard

Comment on "Quality / Merit"					
			r each contest category, judges will also consider a category-		
specifi thus fo	•	this section, Scaling (Up will be judged on progress made towards previous project		
Yes	Somewhat	Not Especially	No		
	30111611114	Troc Especially			
Comr	nent on "Cat	egory Fit"			
ſ					
Addit	tional Feedba	ck			
			mmonte shout this proposal that you would like to share with		
	ou nave any ad plicants?	aitional general con	nments about this proposal that you would like to share with		
	P ************************************				
Gene	ral Comment	:s			
		-+			
		otner similar ideas/ nould pursue partne	projects that the team should investigate or organizations erships with?		
you	IIIK CIIC CCGIII 5.	Todia parsae parane	ranpa with.		
Comr	nents (Marke	et Research & Par	rtnerships)		